



## Mycoplasma Detection Methods

| Method  | Sensitivity                           | Specificity  | Advantages   | Disadvantages   |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Microbiological culture                       | High ↑                                | High ↑   | European Pharmacopeia recommended method. Gives a clear result.          | Requires specialist microbiology lab. Relatively slow detection method. Potential source of cross-contamination. Some strains are not culturable. |
| Direct DNA stain                              | Low ↓                                 | Low ↓<br>(non-specific DNA stains)                   | European Pharmacopeia recommended method. Rapid and cheap.               | Reading and interpretation of result can be difficult and subjective.   |
| Indirect DNA stain<br>(using indicator cells) | High ↑                                | Low ↓<br>(non-specific DNA stains)                   | Amplifies contaminant so it is easier to interpret than direct stain.    | Slower and more time consuming than direct stain  |
| PCR   | High ↑                                | Medium ⇔<br>(will not detect all Mycoplasma species) | Rapid and very sensitive. Several commercial kits available.             | Risk of false positive results due to carry over contamination from positive controls and/or samples.   |
| ELISA   | Medium ⇔<br>(high if amplified ELISA) | Medium ⇔<br>(will not detect all Mycoplasma species) | Rapid and cheap. Useful and simple for testing large numbers of samples. | Amplified ELISAs include additional steps and are slower. Requires access to ELISA reader.  |
| Biochemical detection                         | Medium ⇔                              | High ↑   | Very rapid. Useful for urgent testing of small sample numbers.           | Requires access to luminometer.   |